



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,252	01/23/2002		Koichiro Kishima	SON-2324	1923
23353	7590	02/27/2003			
RADER FIS		I & GRAUER P	EXAMINER		
1233 20TH S	TREET	N.W., SUITE 501	CHOI, WILLIAM C		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2873	
				DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	TA III K				
			Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/052,252	KISHIMA ET AL.				
	o a construction outside y	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication are	William C. Choi	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 D	ecember 2002 .					
2a) <u></u>		s action is non-final.					
3) Dispositi							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-30</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
l.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[∑	All b) Some * c) None of:	,	(d) or (i).				
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received					
2	Certified copies of the priority documents h		n No				
3	Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	documents have been received	d in this National Stage				
14)∐ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 119(e)	to a provisional application				
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/052,252

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8 in Paper No. 6, is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 9-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the **abstract not exceed 150 words in length** since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Art Unit: 2873

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that 4. form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borrelli et al (U.S. 4,684,222).

In regards to claim 1, Borrelli et al discloses an optical lens (column 1, lines 6-10, Figure 2a), comprising: a substrate made of an optical material (column 1, lines 59-61); and a convex element (16) formed integrally with said substrate (14) and having a convex curved face so as to have a function as an optical lens (column 2, lines 27-34, Figure 2a); said curved face of said convex element having a first curvature on a first cross section including an axis (a-a) in a focus direction of said optical lens and a second curvature on a second cross section (b-b) perpendicular to said first cross section and intersecting with said first cross section along the axis in the focus direction (Figures 2-2b), the second curvature being different from the first curvature (Figures 2a and 2b, "16").

Application/Control Number: 10/052,252

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 2, Borrelli et al discloses wherein a focal length of said optical lens on said first cross section and a focal length of said optical lens on said second cross section are different from each other (column 3, lines 31-35, re anamorphic focusing characteristics).

Regarding claim 3, Borrelli et al discloses wherein the shapes of said convex element on said first and second cross sections are symmetrical with respect to the axis in the focus direction (Figures 2a and 2b).

Regarding claim 4, Borrelli et al discloses wherein the shapes of said convex element on said first and second cross sections are shapes of arcs substantially of ellipses (Figures 2a and 2b).

Regarding claim 5, Borrelli et al discloses wherein said substrate has a flat face on which said convex element is formed (Figures 1, 2a and 2b, "14").

Regarding claim 6, the optical lens of Borrelli et al discloses will inherently have a groove formed along a boundary between said substrate and said convex element, this being reasonably assumed from Borrelli disclosing the densification and shrinkage of the lens material during development (column 3, lines 17-24).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Borrelli et al discloses wherein said groove has a substantially elliptical or rectangular shape (column 3, lines 31-42).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Choi whose telephone number is (703) 305-

Application/Control Number: 10/052,252

Art Unit: 2873

Page 5

3100. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:00 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

luc.

William Choi Patent Examiner Art Unit 2873 February 21, 2003

Georgia Epps

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800